UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	United States of America)
	v.)) Case No. 4:12-CR-49-FL-1
	LAMAR PIERRE ROUSE) Case No. 4.12-CR-49-FL-1
	Defendant)
	DETENTION OR	DER PENDING TRIAL
require	After conducting a detention hearing under the B that the defendant be detained pending trial.	ail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
	Part I—F	indings of Fact
□ (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describe	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
	of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local off	ense that would have been a federal offense if federal
	jurisdiction had existed - that is	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C for which the prison term is 10 years or me	. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) ore.
	☐ an offense for which the maximum senten	ce is death or life imprisonment.
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison te	rm of ten years or more is prescribed in
		.*
	a felony committed after the defendant had described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C	been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses, or comparable state or local offenses:
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence l	out involves:
	☐ a minor victim	
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or d	estructive device or any other dangerous weapon
	☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	2250
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was commfederal, state release or local offense.	itted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed sin	ce the \(\square \) date of conviction \(\square \) the defendant's release
	from prison for the offense described in finding	g (1).
□ (4)		ole presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
	Alternati	ve Findings (A)
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defe	endant has committed an offense
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten y	ears or more is prescribed in .
	☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	·
	• `,	

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2 <u>)</u>	The defendant has not rebutted the pre- the defendant's appearance and the sai	sumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure cety of the community.	
	A	lternative Findings (B)	
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defende	ant will not appear.	
□ (2 <u>)</u>	There is a serious risk that the defende	ant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.	
		rement of the Reasons for Detention submitted at the detention hearing establishes by	
Z	☐ clear and convincing evidence. Based on the defendant's waiver of his/her right	,	
	For the reasons indicated below, there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another person or the community. The nature of the charges The lack of stable employment		
	The apparent strength of the government's		
	The indication of substance abuse	The fact that the charges arose while on state probation	
	The defendant's criminal history	The history of probation revocations	
	Other:		
	Part III—	Directions Regarding Detention	
pendi order	orrections facility separate, to the extent proing appeal. The defendant must be afforded	y of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement acticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On corney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility arshal for a court appearance.	
Date:	07/05/2012	Fort-Jun L	
		Judge's Signature	
		ROBERT B. JONES, JR., USMJ	
		Name and Title	